

AFOSR TR--80-1055

LEVELT (12)

9 Final Scientific Repet. 1 July 79-31 Jan. 14.

on

Detection, Estimation, and Control

on Group Manifolds,



TO:

Air Force Office of Scientific Research

Air Force Systems Command

USAF

FROM:

James T. Lo

Department of Mathematics

University of Maryland Baltimore County

Baltimore, Maryland 21228

SUBJECT:

Contract No F49620-79-C-\$186

Detection, Estimation, and Control

on Group Manifolds

16 2204

covering the period:

July 1, 1979 - July 31, 1980

THE COPY

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

I. General

This final report covers work carried out by the principal investigator and a graduate research assistant at the Department of Mathematics during the 13 months period from July 1, 1979 to July 31, 1980 under Contract F49620-79-C-0186.

The progress has resulted in three technical papers listed in Section II, which will be submitted for publication as soon as completed.

During the report period, the following people contributed to the project: Professor James T. Lo and Mr. Sze-Kui Ng.

II. Publications

- (1) Transition Probabilities of Homogeneous Markov Processes on Compact Lie Groups, to appear.
- (2) Projecting Homogeneous Markov Processes onto Compact Homogeneous Spaces, to appear.
- (3) Approximation of Brownian Motion Densities on the Three Dimensional Rotation Group, to appear.

III. Summary of Progress

An optimal estimation scheme for continuous-time rotational processes with one degree of freedom was obtained in [1]. The estimation problem for continuous-time rotational processes with three degrees of freedom turns out to be rather difficult. Many attempts were made by the P.I. in the past few years on the problem. While these attempts produced minimal results, many crucial issues have been placed in

AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH (AFSC) NOTICE OF TRANSMITTAL TO DDC

This technical a part has been reviewed and is approved for partice release IAW AFR 190-12 (7b). Distribution is unlimited.

A. D. BLOSE
Fechnical Information Officer

perspective. Because of the theoretical and practical importance of the problem, a concentrated effort was finally made during the report period to study these issues.

(1) Transition Probabilities of Homogeneous Markov Processes on Compact Lie Groups:

A signal process on SO(3) (the 3-dimensional rotation group) can be constructed by injecting a 3-dimensional Brownian motion into SO(3) [2]. It is known that such a signal process is governed by a bilinear stochastic differential equation. But how do we compute the transition density for this process? In the SO(2) case, such a transition density has an explicit expression called the folded normal density. It is also called a theta function. Then what is a general folded normal density or theta function? This question is answered in a much more general context as follows:

Let $\{T_g^{(n)}\}$ be a sequence of finite dimensional irreducible unitary representations of a k-dimensional compact Lie group G where $T_g^{(1)} = 1$. The infinitesimal operators $A_i^{(n)}$ of $T_g^{(n)}$ is defined as

$$A_{i}^{(n)} = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} \left[T_{g_{i}(t)}^{(n)} - I_{n} \right], \quad i = 1, ..., k$$

where $\{g_i^{}\}$ are k one-parameter subgroups of which the tangent vectors at the group identity e are orthogonal. There is a neighborhood of e in which a parameterization $x_1^{}(g), \ldots, x_k^{}(g)$ satisfies

$$T_g^{(n)} = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^k x_i(g)A_i^{(n)}\right), \quad \forall g \in G.$$

These local coordinates $\{x_i(g)\}$ can be extended continuously to the

Accession ver NTIS GRAAT DTIC TIB Unannounced Justiffication

Distribution/
Availability

Dist

entire G such that they do not vanish simultaneously except at e. Let X_i denote the left invariant vector fields corresponding to g_i . Hung [3] proved that for a smooth function f and the transition probability P(t, g, E) of a homogeneous Markov process, the function $u(t, g) = \int_G f(\sigma)P(t, g, d\sigma)$ satisfies the Kolmogrov backward equation, with P(0, e, E) concentrates at e.

$$\frac{\partial u(t, g)}{\partial t} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{k} a_{ij} X_{i} X_{j} u(t, g) + \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_{i} X_{i} u(t, g)$$

$$\int_{G \setminus \{e\}} \left[u(t, gh) - u(t, g) - \sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}(h) X_{i} u(t, g) \right] dF(h),$$

for a real vector $[a_1, \ldots, a_k]$, a non-negative definite matrix $[a_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^k$, and a positive measure F on G\{e} such that $\int_{G\setminus\{e\}_{i=1}^k} \sum_{j=1}^k x_j^2(g) dF(g) < \infty.$

Our result is that the transition probability P(t, g, E) can be calculated by using the following fact:

Let
$$C_n(t) = \exp t \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^k a_{ij} A_i^{(n)} A_j^{(n)} + \sum_{i=1}^k a_{i} A_i^{(n)} + \int_{G \setminus \{e\}} \left[T_g^{(n)} - I_n - \sum_{i=1}^k x_i(g) A_i^{(n)} \right] dF(g) \right\}$$

and $\rho_n = (\dim. \text{ of } T_g^{(n)})/(\text{the volume of } G).$

Under certain conditions, there exists a sequence of functions

$$f_r(t, g, \sigma) = \sum_{n=0}^{N(r)} \rho_n \lambda_n^r \operatorname{tr} \left[T_g^{(n)*} T_\sigma^{(n)} c_n^*(t) \right]$$

such that for hCC(G),

$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \int h(\sigma)f_r(t, g, \sigma)d\sigma = \int h(\sigma)P(t, g, d\sigma)$$

where
$$|\lambda_n^r| \le 1$$
, $\lambda_0^r = 1$, $\lim_{r \to \infty} \lambda_n^r = 1$, $n = 0,1,\ldots$ and $\lim_{r \to \infty} N(r) = \infty$.

For example, let us consider G = SO(3) and the Brownian motion governed by the bilinear Ito equation,

$$dX(t) = \begin{bmatrix} -dt & -dw_3 & dw_2 \\ dw_3 & -dt & -dw_1 \\ -dw_2 & dw_1 & -dt \end{bmatrix} X(t), X(0) = I$$

where $[w_1, w_2, w_3]$ is the standard Brownian motion in R^3 . The transition density $p(t, g, \sigma)$ of the process satisfies

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} (t, g, \sigma)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sin \beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left[\sin \beta \frac{\partial p}{\partial \beta} (t, g, \sigma) \right] + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \beta} \left[\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \lambda^2} (t, g, \sigma) \right]$$

$$- 2 \cos \beta \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \alpha \partial \gamma} (t, g, \sigma) + \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \alpha^2} (t, g, \sigma) \right]$$

$$p(0, e, \sigma) = \delta(\sigma)$$

where $g=(\alpha', \beta', \gamma')$ and $\sigma=(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. It can be explicitly written as

$$p(t, g, \sigma) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{2n+1}{8\pi^2} e^{-j(j-1)t} \sum_{m,n=-j}^{j} e^{im\alpha'} \overline{P}_{mn}^{j} (\cos \beta') e^{in\gamma'} e^{-im\alpha}$$

$$P_{mn}^{j} (\cos \beta) e^{-in\gamma}$$

where $e^{-im\alpha}P_{mn}^{j}(\cos\beta)e^{-in\gamma}$ is the generalized spherical functions of order j.

(2) Projecting Homogeneous Markov Processes onto Compact Homogeneous Spaces:

The 2-sphere S^2 , the surface of a ball, is a natural state space for the directional processes. It is isomorphic to the quotient space SO(3)/SO(2). A signal process on S^2 can be induced by projecting a signal process on SO(3) onto S^2 . If the signal process on SO(3) is Brownian, then what is the transition density of the induced Brownian motion on S^2 ? This question is also answered in a general context as follows:

Let H be a closed subgroup of the Lie group G. Corresponding to a projection $\Pi:g \to Hg$ from G onto the homogeneous space H\G, there exists a C^{∞} mapping s such that $\Pi \circ s = 1$. For each s, an element g\(\text{CG}\) is expressed uniquely as $s_1(x)$ h for some x\(\text{CH\G}\) and h\(\text{CH}\). A homogeneous process on H\G can be induced by projecting through Π a homogeneous process on G.

The transition probability P(t, x, B) of the resulting process on $H\G$ can be calculated by using the following fact:

Under certain conditions, there exists a sequence of functions

$$f_r(t, x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{N(r)} \rho_n \lambda_n^r tr \left[T_{s(x)}^{(n)*} \right]_H^{T_g(n)} dg T_{s(y)}^{(n)} c_n^*(t)$$

such that for h & C(H\G),

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\int_{H\backslash G}h(y)f_r(t, x, y)d\mu(y) = \int_{H\backslash G}h(y)P(t, x, dy)$$

where μ is an invariant measure on H\G and the other symbols are defined as in (1).

For example, the transition density of the homogeneous process that is the projection of the example in (1) satisfies

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t}(t, x, y) = \frac{1}{\sin \beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \left[\sin \beta \frac{\partial p}{\partial \beta}(t, x, y) \right] + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \beta} \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \gamma^2}(t, x, y)$$

$$p(0, 0, y) = \delta(y)$$

where $x = (\alpha', \beta')$ and $y = (\alpha, \beta)$, the spherical coordinates. It can be explicitly written as

$$p(t, x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2k+1}{4\pi} e^{-k(k+1)t} \sum_{n=-k}^{k} \frac{n}{Y_{k}} (\frac{\pi}{2} - \gamma', \beta') Y_{k}^{n} (\frac{\pi}{2} - \gamma, \beta)$$

where $Y_k^n(\frac{\pi}{2} - \gamma, \beta)$, $-k \le n \le k$ are the unnormalized spherical functions of the k-th order.

(3) Approximation of Brownian Motion Densities on the Three Dimensional Rotation Group:

The transition densities obtained above are very complicated functions, as illustrated by the explicit expressions for the transition densities of the simplest possible Brownian motions on SO(3) and S^2 . In order to use them for estimation, a natural question is whether they can be approximated closely by simpler closed-form functions.

Let us restrict our attention to the density obtained in the example in (1):

$$\begin{split} p_{\sigma}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) &= p(t, e, (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{2\ell+1}{8\pi} e^{-\sigma\ell(\ell+1)} \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} e^{-im(\alpha+\gamma)} p_{mm}^{\ell}(\cos \beta). \end{split}$$

It can be approximated closely by

$$p_{k}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \frac{1}{2\pi C_{0}(k)C_{1}(k)} e^{k \cos \beta + k \cos (\alpha + \gamma)}$$

$$C_{0}(k) = \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{k \cos \alpha} d\alpha$$

$$C_{1}(k) = \int_{0}^{\pi} e^{k \cos \beta} d\beta$$

where k is determined by coth $k - \frac{1}{k} = e^{-2\sigma}$. Let the Fourier series expansion of p_k be

$$p_k(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{2\ell+1}{8\pi^2} tr \left[T_g^{(\ell)} C_{\ell}^*(k)\right]$$

where $C_{\ell}(k) = \left(C_{nm}^{\ell}(k)\right)$ is a $2\ell+1$ dimensional coefficient matrix. It is proven that

(a) For each m, ℓ such that $-\ell \le m \le \ell$,

$$C_{mm}^{\ell}(k) = e^{-\sigma \ell (\ell + 1)} + 0 \left(\frac{1}{k^2}\right)$$

$$C_{mm}^{\ell}(k) = 0, \quad \text{for } n \neq m$$

(b) Given any $\epsilon > 0$ and positive integer N, there exists a number $K(\epsilon, \, N) \, \, \text{such that, for}$

$$k \ge K(\varepsilon, N), \quad \ell^2 \le Nk, \text{ and } -\ell \le m \le \ell,$$

$$\left| C_{mm}^{\ell}(k) - e^{-\sigma \ell (\ell + 1)} \right| \le \varepsilon.$$

It is noted that (a) is analogous to those for spheres and circles obtained in [4] and [5]. (b) is new and stronger than (a). (b) for spheres and circles is also obtained in our work.

References

- [1] J. T. Lo and A. S. Willsky, "Estimation for Rotational Processes with One Degree of Freedom I: Introduction and Continuous-Time Processes," <u>IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control</u>, AC-20-1, February 1975.
- [2] J. T. Lo, "Representation of Continuous Curves on the 3-dimensional Rotation Group," <u>Mathematical System Theory</u>, 8-4, pp. 368-375, 1975.
- [3] G. A. Hunt, "Semi-groups of Measures on Lie Groups," Trans. Amer.

 Math. Soc., 81, pp. 264-293, 1956.
- [4] P. H. Roberts and H. D. Ursell, "Random Walk on a Sphere and on a Riemannian Manifold," Philos. Trans. A, 252, pp. 317-56, 1960.
- [5] M. A. Stevens, "Random Walk on a Circle," <u>Biometrika</u> 50, 3 and 4, pp. 385-390, 1963.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE	READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
REPORT NUMBER 2. GOVT ACCESSION NO	. 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
AFOSR-TR- 80 - 1055 AD-A092 380	
TITLE (and Subtitle)	5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERE
	I STATE OF THE STA
DETECTION, ESTIMATION, AND CONTROL ON GROUP	Final
MANTFOLDS	6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
/	1
AUTHOR(a)	8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(a)
J. T. Lo	
	F49620-79-C-0186 N ^L
	<u>L</u>
PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS	10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASH
University of Maryland Baltimore County	<u> </u>
Department of Mathematics	61102F 2304/ A1
Catonsville, Maryland 21228	
CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS	12. REPORT DATE
Air Force Office of Scientific Research/NM	July 1980
Bolling AFB, Washington, D. C. 20332	13. NUMBER OF PAGES
MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS(If different from Controlling Office)	15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report)
work of the state	
	UNCLASSIFIED
	15e. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report)	15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release, distribution unlimi	
Approved for public release, distribution unlimi	ted.
	ted.
Approved for public release, distribution unlimi	ted.
Approved for public release, distribution unlimi	ted.
Approved for public release, distribution unlimi	ted.
Approved for public release, distribution unlimi	ted.
Approved for public release, distribution unlimi	ted.
Approved for public release, distribution unlimi	ted.
Approved for public release, distribution unlimi	ted.
Approved for public release, distribution unlimi	ted.
Approved for public release, distribution unlimi	ted.
Approved for public release, distribution unlimit DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from the supplementary notes	ted.
Approved for public release, distribution unlimit DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from the supplementary notes	ted.
Approved for public release, distribution unlimit DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from the supplementary notes	ted.
Approved for public release, distribution unlimit DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from the supplementary notes	ted.
Approved for public release, distribution unlimit DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from the supplementary notes	ted.

An optimal estimation scheme for continuous-time rotational processes with one degree of freedom was obtained in (1). The estimation problem for continuous-time rotational processes with three degrees of freedom turns out to be rather difficult. Many attempts were made by the P.I. in the past few years on the problem. While these attempts produced minimal results, many crucial issues have been placed in perspective. Because of the theoretical and practical importance of the problem, a concentrated effort was finally made during the report period to study these issues.

DD 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

